COMMISSIONERS JEFF HATCH-MILLER - Chair WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES **BARRY WONG BRIAN C. McNEIL**



(015

1300 West Washington, Third Floor

Phoenix, AZ 85007 TELEPHONE: (602) 542-4242 FAX: (602) 594-7470 E-MAIL: securitiesdiv@azcc.gov

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Jeff Hatch-Miller, Chairman

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

m

Mike Gleason Kristin K. Mayes

William A. Mundell

OCT 202006

DOCKETED BY

FROM:

Mark Dinell

Barry Wong

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Assistant Director of Securities

DATE:

October 20, 2006

RE:

World Phantasy Tours, Inc., Docket Number S-03539A-03-0000

CC:

Brian C. McNeil, Executive Director

Attached hereto you will find (1) Complaint pertaining to World Phantasy Tours, Inc. ("World Phantasy"), (2) Consent to Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction pertaining to World Phantasy ("Consent") (3) Joint Motion to Amend Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction ("Joint Amendment"); and (4) Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction—Amended ("Amended Order").

Previously, the Commission had settled with five of the six respondents in the pending administrative action, S-03539A-03-0000 ("Administrative Proceeding"). The five settling respondents were Michael Eugene Kelly, Yucatan Resorts, Inc., Yucatan Resorts, S.A., Resorts Holding International, Inc., and Resort Holding International, S.A. (the "Settling Defendants"). The Honorable Peter D. Swann issued a Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction pertaining to the Settling Defendants on February 21, 2006 ("Final Judgment") (attached as Exhibit "A" to the Joint Motion).

At the September Securities open meeting there was on the agenda a proposed order regarding the remaining respondent in the Administrative Proceeding, World Phantasy. After discussions with counsel for the Settling Defendants it was determined they believed that the proposed order was in conflict with the Final Judgment in that it contained findings of fact that could be attributed to their clients. The Securities Division asked that the proposed order be pulled from the open meeting agenda pending further discussions.

After extensive discussions it was determined that World Phantasy would agree to be bound by the Final Judgment. Further, Settling Defendants and the Securities Division agreed on modification to language in the Final Judgment that makes it clear that the Securities Division may proceed against other persons involved with the Settling Defendants and World Phantasy investments (i.e. salesman), and that no findings of fact or conclusions of law resulting "from any other administrative proceeding, arising from the facts in the Administrative Proceeding or this action, commenced by the [Commission] against any person or entity shall be attributed to, effective against or binding upon Defendants for any purpose". The clarifying language and the addition of World Phantasy to the Final Judgment will provide a final resolution of the Administrative Proceeding. The attached documents accomplish this goal.

At the November 2, 2006 open meeting, the Division will be requesting that the Commission approve the filing of the Complaint, Consent, Joint Amendment and form of Amended Order. The following comments pertain to the documents:

- 1. The Complaint is necessary to start an action in Superior Court against World Phantasy. After the Complaint is filed and a judge assigned we anticipate moving the court to consolidate the action with that prior action being handled by Judge Swann.
- 2. The Consent provides that the Commission and World Phantasy will settle the action against World Phantasy by having World Phantasy included under the Amended Order.
- 3. The Joint Motion provides that the Commission and Settling Defendants agree to amend the Final Judgment to clarify the intent of the parties.
- 4. The Amended Judgment will include World Phantasy and will include the clarifying language. In the copy of the Amended Judgment the clarifying language has been highlighted for your review. After the judge signs the Amended Judgment, the Commission will dismiss the Administrative Proceeding against World Phantasy.
- Certain funds as called for under the Final Judgment have been withheld by Settling
 Defendants pending the resolution of the above issues. We have been told by counsel
 those funds will be disbursed to the Commission upon the signing of the Amended
 Judgment.

Staff and counsel for the Settling Defendants will be available to answer questions at the open meeting.

1	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
2	Mark Dinell (Bar #11450) 1300 West Washington, 3 rd Floor		
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorney for Plaintiff		
4	Telephone: (602) 542-4242 Fax: (602) 594-7407		
5	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA		
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA		
7			
8			
9	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION,) No. CV	
10	Plaintiff,))	
11	vs.) COMPLAINT	
12	WORLD PHANTASY TOURS, INC., aka	(Other Civil – Securities Registration Violations, Securities Fraud)	
13	MAJESTY TRAVEL, aka VIAJES MAJESTY		
14	Defendant.)		
15			
16	For its Complaint against Defendant, Plaintiff Arizona Corporation Commission pleads as		
17	follows:		
18	1. The plaintiff in this matter, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC"), is a		
19	governmental entity charged with enforcing the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. §44-1801 et seq.		
20	(hereinafter, the "Securities Act").		
21	2. Defendant, World Phantasy Tours, Inc.,	aka Majesty Travel, aka Viajes Majesty ("World	
22	Phantasy") along with certain other entities (the "Other Entities") offered and sold securities in		
23	violation of the Securities Act.		
24	3. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant and the Other Entities conducted		
25	business within or from Maricopa County, Arizona.		
26			

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

The ACC brings this action pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2031 and 44-2032. Venue is proper in this County pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2031(B).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Since at least 2000, Defendant and the Other Entities have been directly or indirectly 5. engaged in the offer and sale of securities to the general public in Arizona in the form of investment contracts as defined by A.R.S. § 44-1801(26).
- Defendant and the Other Entities' investment contract was marketed as a Universal Lease 6. timeshare program ("Universal Lease"), a scheme that purportedly offered investors the opportunity to purchase timeshare units in one of various hotel properties in Cancun and Acapulco, Mexico, as well as parts of Central America.
- The Defendant and the Other Entities designed, marketed and operated this Universal 7. Lease during a period from approximately March 2000 through September 2003. During this time, over 369 Arizona investors invested over \$24.8 million dollars into this program.
- Arizona investors were told that Universal Lease investment funds were being used to 8. purchase more resort properties, indicating that investor funds were being pooled to provide financing for new acquisitions.
- Universal Lease investor funds were in fact wired to a number of undisclosed locales, 9. including dozens of companies and individuals in Mexico.
- 10. With respect to the certain accounts in Indiana and later Florida, a substantial amount of Universal Lease investment funds were wired directly to bank accounts held by the purported third-party servicing agent in the Universal Lease program, Defendant World Phantasy. These funds were then subsequently redistributed directly back to investors in the form of monthly, quarterly or annual interest payments.

11. Under the terms of the Universal Lease program, investors were required to invest a minimum of \$5,000 dollars, but they were allowed to invest any amount in excess of that sum. Investment funds were made payable to certain of the Other Entities.

- 12. Prospective investors were given the option to roll part or all of their IRA portfolios into the Universal Lease program. In doing so, investors were effectively replacing their existing retirement savings with the Universal Lease timeshare program. The Universal Lease application contained a specific form to facilitate the transfer of investors' retirement portfolios into the Other Entities' investment program.
- 13. According to Universal Lease promotional materials, investors were afforded the opportunity to select one of three separate Universal Lease "options." In practice, options 1 and 2 were illusory, effectively leaving option 3 as the sole Universal Lease Program alternative.
- 14. The Universal Lease literature focused on Option 3. According to Universal Lease promotional brochures, investors who "selected" Option 3 would be eligible to receive a guaranteed 11 percent (subsequently lowered to 9 percent) annual return on their timeshare investments for a period of 25 years, after which time the lease would be renewable for another 20 years.
- 15. For an investor to reap the 11 and later 9 percent per annum return under this Universal Lease option, the investor was required, as part of his investment, to hire a "third party" management company to lease the investor's timeshare unit. The Universal Lease materials identified the Panamanian company Defendant World Phantasy as the designated management company for this servicing function.
- 16. The selection of Defendant World Phantasy as the "independent third party leasing agent" was the only listed means under which investors could earn the promised 11 or 9 per cent rate of return on their Universal Lease investments.
- 17. To select Defendant World Phantasy as the servicing agent, investors were instructed to complete a formal "Management Agreement" with the company. This World Phantasy Management

Agreement was bundled with the Universal Lease promotional and application materials, and was the single management company identified for servicing the various participating resorts.

- 18. Upon information and belief, no legitimate independent third party management companies were actually available to lease out and service the Universal Lease timeshare units.
- 19. Once investors had made their investments in the Other Entities' Universal Lease program and had signed the Management Agreement with Defendant World Phantasy, the investors were contractually guaranteed to receive an 11 and later 9 percent per annum return on their investments for the life of the Universal Lease. The investors had no duties or responsibilities following their investments, and only the Other Entities and/or Defendant World Phantasy were responsible for developing new units and/or managed existing rental units to generate the rental profits that would purportedly support the investors' investment returns.
- 20. Option 3 was also the only one of the Universal Lease options that also allowed investors to recoup up to 5 percent of any liquidation penalty incurred during the process of rolling other investments into the Universal Lease program. This feature was an added incentive for investors to exchange their existing investment portfolios into Option 3 of the Universal Lease Program.
- 21. Upon information and belief, all Arizona investors involved in the Universal Lease program chose Option 3, the investment option.

Misrepresentations and Omissions

- 22. Although several different company brochures and promotional materials were distributed to prospective Universal Lease investors, these investors were never apprised as to the financial condition of the Other Entities, were never informed as to the distribution and uses of Universal Lease investment funds.
- 23. Universal Lease sales agents received commissions reaching upwards of 20 percent for investments made in the Universal Lease program under Option 3. These commissions were subject to increases in instances where agents qualified for bonuses and/or sales overrides. Universal Lease investors were not informed about the existence of these commissions or their amounts.

- 24. The Other Entities' Universal Lease was repeatedly marketed as a safe and secure investment program, and that any investments would be fully protected by debt-free properties. In making such claims, Other Entities failed to disclose the many inherent risks associated with investing in this foreign timeshare scheme.
- 25. The safety and security of investments in the Universal Lease were also routinely premised on sales agent claims of "full insurance." Such claims were misleading in that, although some of the resorts underlying the Universal Lease program may have had some form of casualty insurance, the Universal Lease program itself did not.
- 26. The Other Entities also failed to disclose to investors that the Other Entities were regularly wiring millions of dollars in Universal Lease investment funds over to bank accounts held by the purportedly "independent" servicing agent for the resort units, Defendant World Phantasy. Defendant World Phantasy then used these funds to meet outstanding investor interest payments.
- 27. Other Entities also failed to disclose that certain of the Other Entities were the subject of administrative orders for securities violations in Wisconsin and Pennsylvania
- 28. Upon information and belief, Arizona investors had no knowledge that the Other Entities had been the subject of previous sanctions based on multiple violations of state securities laws.

Recent Developments

- 29. In the summer of 2004, Defendant World Phantasy notified investors that it was ending its association with the Universal Lease program. Defendant World Phantasy announced that a company by the name of Galaxy Property Management ("Galaxy") would be taking over the "servicing functions." Arizona investors were not provided an explanation for, or choice in, this replacement.
- 30. Arizona investors were given no information as to who owns Galaxy, who manages Galaxy, where the company is based, whether the company is solvent, and what if any relationship it has with the Defendants.

COUNT TWO

VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1842

(Transactions by Unregistered Dealers or Salesmen)

- 39. The ACC incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 of this Complaint.
- 40. During the period from approximately May 2000 through at least September 2003, Defendant offered and sold securities within or from Arizona while not registered as dealers or salesmen pursuant to Article 9 of the Securities Act.
 - 41. This conduct violates A.R. S. § 44-1842.

COUNT THREE

VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1991

(Fraud in Connection with the Offer and Sale of Securities)

- 42. The ACC incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Complaint.
- 43. In connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona, Defendant directly or indirectly: (i) employed a device, scheme or artifice to defraud; (ii) made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts which were necessary in order to make the statements made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made; and (iii) engaged in transactions, practices or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon offerees and investors. Defendants' conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- a) Although represented as an independent third party leasing agent by the Other Entities, Defendant World Phantasy Tours was not an independent third party leasing agent for the Universal Lease program, and in fact funds were routinely wired from Universal Lease investor funds over to Defendant World Phantasy for use in making interest payments back to investors;

- b) Defendant failed to disclose to investors that a sales commission of at least 20 percent was routinely paid to Universal Lease sales agents consummating timeshare investments, and that sales agents were eligible for additional monetary bonuses and overrides;
- c) Defendant failed to disclose to investors how, and for what purpose, monies invested into the Universal Lease Program were being managed, allocated and utilized;
- d) Defendant failed to disclose to investors that the source of their interest payments was not rental revenue but other Universal Lease investor funds;
- e) Defendant failed to disclose to investors any salient financial and/or background information about the companies operating the Universal Lease program;
- f) Defendant failed to disclose to investors that administrative orders reflecting prior securities violations had been issued against various of the Other Entities in other jurisdictions;
 - 44. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1991.

COUNT FOUR

RESTITUTION OBLIGATION UNDER A.R.S. § 44-2032

(Order to Restore Monies or Property)

- 45. The ACC incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint.
- 46. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032(3), the ACC requests that this Court enter an Order restoring to current investors monies or property Defendants have acquired or transferred in violation of the Securities Act.

WHEREFORE, the ACC prays that this Court enter judgment as follows:

- 1. Order that Defendant be permanently enjoined from violating the Securities Act;
- 2. Order restoration to Arizona investors of all money and property Defendant has acquired or transferred in violation of the Securities Act;

1		
1	3. Order Defendant to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from the	
2	acts, practices and transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44	
3	2032;	
4	4. Order that Defendant pay the State of Arizona civil penalties in the amount of up to five	
5	thousand dollars (\$5,000) per violation of the Securities Act in accordance with A.R.S. § 44-2037, a	
6	the Court considers to be just and proper;	
7	5. Order that Defendant pay the State of Arizona reasonable costs, including attorney fees	
8	pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032(1)(b);	
9	6. Order any other relief that the Court deems appropriate.	
10	Dated this day of, 2006.	
11	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
12		
13		
14	By: Mark Dinell	
15	Attorney for the Securities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

1	Defendant for any purpose;. For the foregoing sole purpose, the Defendant and the Commission			
2	agree and consent to the entry of a Final Judgment and Order of Permanent InjunctionAmended			
3	(the "Order") in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "A".			
4	By signing below for Defendant the person signing represents that they are appropriately			
5	authorized to enter into this Consent to Settlement and Permanent Injunction on behalf of the			
6	settling Defendant.			
7				
8	For the Arizona Corporation Commission			
9				
10	By:			
11	Attorney for the Arizona Corporation Commission			
12	Commission			
13	WORLD PHANTASY TOURS, INC., aka MAJESTY TRAVEL,			
14	aka VIAJES MAJESTY YUCATAN RESORTS, INC.			
15				
16	By: Eduardo Arauz Cedeno			
17				
18				
19 20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				

EXHIBIT "A"

Form of Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction--Amended

1 2 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 3 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 4 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 5 No. CV 2006-001547 Plaintiff, 6 VS. 7 FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER 8 MICHAEL EUGENE KELLY, a married man; OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION--YUCATAN RESORTS, INC., a former Indiana AMENDED 9 company; YUCATAN RESORTS, S.A., a former) Panamanian corporation; RESORT HOLDINGS 10 INTERNATIONAL, INC., a former Nevada (Assigned to the Honorable corporation; RESORT HOLDING Peter B. Swann) 11 INTERNATIONAL, S.A., a Panamanian Corporation; and WORLD PHANTASY TOURS, 12 INC., aka MAJESTY TRAVEL, aka VIAJES **MAJESTY** 13 Defendants. 14 15 On this day of , 2006, after consideration of the Joint Motion to 16 Amend Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction executed by counsel for Plaintiff and all 17 Defendants, except World Phantasy Tours, Inc., aka Majesty Travel, aka Viajes Majesty, and the 18 19 Consent to Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction executed by World Phantasy Tours, 20 Inc., aka Majesty Travel, aka Viajes Majesty, and 21 This Court having previously entered a Final Judgment and Order of Permanent of 22 Injunction signed February 21, 2006 with Defendants Michael Eugene Kelly, Yucatan Resorts, 23 Inc., Yucatan Resorts, S.A., Resort Holdings International, Inc. and Resort Holding International. 24 S.A. (collectively, along with World Phantasy Tours, Inc., aka Majesty Travel, aka Viajes Majesty, 25 "Defendants") and it appearing to the Court that Plaintiff and Defendants agree to certain 26

amendments to this Court's February 21, 2006 Final Judgment and Order of Permanent of Injunction. It further appearing to the Court that each of the Defendants has consented to the entry of this Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction; and

It appearing to the Court that each of the Defendants has admitted jurisdiction of the Court over themselves and the subject matter of this action; and

It appearing that the parties have entered into a Consent to Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction and pursuant thereto have agreed to the entry of this Order for the purpose of settling any and all claims of the Arizona Corporation Commission (the "Commission") arising out of facts related to the allegations contained in the Complaint in this action and the Administrative Action, Docket S-03539A-03-000, pending before the Commission (the "Administrative Proceeding"), and without Defendants admitting or denying any of the allegations in the Complaint in this action or in the Administrative Proceeding, and without trial or final adjudication of any issue of fact or law pertaining to this action or the Administrative Proceeding and provided that no findings of fact or conclusion of law resulting from any other administrative proceeding, arising from the facts in the Administrative Proceeding or this action, commenced by Plaintiff against any person or entity shall be attributed to, effective against or binding upon Defendants for any purpose;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Court finds sufficient basis to order the following:

IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2032 Defendants, and their agents, employees, successors and assigns, with entry of this Order, shall be permanently enjoined from violating the Arizona Securities Act (the "Securities Act"). Defendants shall not sell any securities within or from Arizona without being registered in Arizona as dealers or salesmen, or without being exempt from such registration. Defendants shall not sell securities within or from Arizona unless the securities are registered in Arizona or unless the securities are otherwise exempt from

3

4 5

7

6

8

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

registration. Defendants shall not commit securities fraud in connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2032, Defendants shall jointly and severally pay to the Commission restitution in the amount of Twenty Million Three Hundred Eighty-eight Thousand and Thirty-five Dollars (\$20,388,035) which the Commission shall disburse to each purchaser of Defendants' Universal Lease program who purchased such Universal Lease within or from the State of Arizona ("Arizona Lease Purchasers"), as reflected on the records of the Commission, as agreed upon by Defendants, plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum on any unpaid balance from the entry date of this Order. The amount payable by Defendants hereunder shall be reduced by any amount that has been previously paid to Arizona Lease Purchasers by Defendants, upon proof satisfactory to the Commission by February 28, 2006 that such payments have been made. Payment shall be made as follows: Four Million Dollars (\$4,000,000) on the date of this Order; seven installments of Two Million Five Hundred Forty-eight Thousand Five Hundred Four Dollars (\$2,548,504), and a final installment in an amount necessary to complete payment of the entire amount due. The installment payments shall be semi-annual and are to be received by the Commission no later than the last day of June and December, beginning June 30, 2006, until the entire restitution amount has been paid in full. The amount payable by Defendants hereunder shall be reduced by either (1) the amount of payments received by Arizona Lease Purchasers, as shown on the records of the Commission, and as agreed upon by Defendants, from salesmen of Defendants or any one of them, to compensate Arizona Lease Purchasers for the purchase price of their Universal Leases, upon receipt of satisfactory proof by the Commission that such payments have been made, and/or (2) by payments received by the Commission from any order in an action against any person or entity which resulted in a contribution to the repayment to Arizona Lease Purchasers for the purchase price of their Universal Leases. Such offsets shall be

applied to the final installment payment obligation. To be eligible for such offsets, Defendants must have remained in full compliance with all terms and conditions contained in this Order. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account maintained and controlled by the Commission. The Commission shall disburse the funds on a pro rata basis to all known Arizona Lease Purchasers. Any funds that the Commission is unable to disburse because Arizona Lease Purchasers cannot be located shall be transferred to the general fund of the State of Arizona. Any restitution funds that the Commission cannot disburse, because the Arizona Lease Purchaser refuses to accept such payment, shall be disbursed to the remaining Arizona Lease Purchasers on a pro-rata basis until such time as all remaining Arizona Lease Purchasers have been paid in full. Any remaining funds after all Arizona Lease Purchasers have been paid in full shall be applied to civil penalties ordered herein pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2037. In the event that the Defendants do not comply with the payment provisions of the Order, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2038, that Defendants shall, jointly and severally, pay on the date of this Order, costs of Forty-nine Thousand Four Hundred Eleven Dollars (\$49,411) which the Commission will repay to the National White Collar Crime Center ("NWCCC"), to reimburse the NWCCC for funds that agency had provided to the Commission to assist in the investigation and trial of the Administrative Proceeding. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of Arizona" to be paid by the Commission to the NWCCC.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2037, Defendants shall jointly and severally pay civil penalties to the Commission in the amount of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) provided that Defendants timely make all restitution payments pursuant to this

Order. If such restitution payments are not timely made the civil penalty will be increased to One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000). Payment hereunder shall be made in full by cashier's check, money order or other payment acceptable to the Commission together with the last installment payment of restitution and should be made payable to the "State of Arizona." The civil penalties shall be subordinate to any restitution obligations as ordered herein, and such penalties shall become immediately due and payable only after payments to Arizona Lease Purchasers have been paid in full, or if Defendants have defaulted prior to fulfilling such payment obligations. For the purpose of this Final Judgment, a bankruptcy filing by any Defendant shall be an act of default on that Defendant's obligations to pay funds hereunder unless such payment obligations have been fulfilled at that time. If Defendants do not comply with this Final Judgment for civil penalties, any outstanding balance may be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants, as well as any employees, successors, assigns, subordinates or agents thereof, will refrain, both directly and indirectly, from making any additional offers or sales of securities relating to leases, condominium units, or other property interests in the Mexican state of Quintana Roo within or from the state of Arizona. Such proscribed activities shall include, but not be limited to, any offers or sales of securities relating to estates, condominiums, commercial real estate or other property interests in the "Puerto Cancun" development project.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon the execution and entering of the Order by the Court and the payment by Defendants to the Commission of the initial restitution amount of Four Million Dollars (\$4,000,000) and the cost amount of Forty-nine Thousand Four Hundred Eleven Dollars (\$49,411) called for on the date of this Order, the Administrative Proceeding against Defendants in this action shall be dismissed by the Commission with prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that based upon the agreement of the parties as expressly

represented to this Court, nothing herein shall be deemed to be a final adjudication of any issue of fact or law pertaining to the Administrative Proceeding and further, that no finding of fact or conclusion of law resulting from any other administrative proceeding, arising from the facts in the Administrative Proceeding or this action, commenced by Plaintiff against any person(s) or entity, shall be attributed to, effective against, binding upon, or shall have any collateral estoppel or res judicata effect against any Defendants herein for any purpose. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the prior order of this court dated February 21, 2006 remains in full force and effect except as specifically modified herein. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Superior Court of the State of Arizona, Maricopa County, shall have continuing jurisdiction and venue over any and all actions, including an application for an Order of Contempt, related to the enforcement of the Consent to Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction and this Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction. DONE IN OPEN COURT this _____ day of ______, 2006. By: ______ Judge of the Superior Court

1				
2	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA			
3	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA			
4	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION,			
5	Plaintiff,	No. CV 2006-001547		
6	VS.			
7	MICHAEL EUGENE KELLY, a married man; YUCATAN RESORTS, INC., a former Indiana company; YUCATAN RESORTS, S.A., a former	JOINT MOTION TO AMEND FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF		
8	Panamanian corporation; RESORT HOLDINGS	PERMANENT INJUNCTION		
9	[[corporation; and RESORT HOLDING)			
10	INTERNATIONAL, S.A., a Panamanian Corporation;	(Assigned to the Honorable Peter B. Swann)		
11	Defendants.			
12				
13				
14	On February 21, 2006 the Honorable Peter B. Swann signed the Final Judgment and Orde			
15	of Permanent Injunction attached hereto as Exhibit "A" (the "Order"). Pursuant to the Order th			
16	Court retained continuing jurisdiction and venue over all actions related to the Order. The Plainting			
17	and Defendants have agreed to certain limited amendments to the Order to clarify the original			
18	intent of the parties.			
19				
20	WHEREFORE, THE PARTIES RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THIS COURT:			
21	Modify the Order as reflected in the form of Final Judgment and Order of Permanent			
22	Injunction—Amended, attached hereto as Exhibit "B".			
23	For the Arizona Corporation Commission			
24	For the Arizona Corporation Commission			
25	By:			
26	Mark Dinell Attorney for the Arizona Corporation Commission			

1	For Michael Eugene Kelly		
2	For Michael Eugene Keny		
3			
4	Paul J. Roshka, Esq., Attorney For		
5	Michael Eugene Kelly		
6			
7	For: Yucatan Resorts, Inc.		
8	Yucatan Resorts, S.A., Resort Holdings International, Inc.		
9	Resort Holding International, S.A		
10			
11	Joel Held, Esq. Attorney for		
12	Yucatan Resorts, Inc. Yucatan Resorts, S.A.,		
13	Resort Holdings International, Inc. Resort Holding International, S.A		
14	Resort Holding International, 5.71		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

EXHIBIT "A"

Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction Signed by Honorable Peter B. Swann, February 21, 2006

CERTIFIED COPY

1

2

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Corporation:

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MICHAEL EUGENE KELLY, a married man; YUCATAN RESORTS, INC., a former Indiana company; YUCATAN RESORTS, S.A., a former) Panamanian corporation; RESORT HOLDINGS INTERNATIONAL, INC., a former Nevada corporation; and RESORT HOLDING INTERNATIONAL, S.A., a Panamanian

Defendants.

No. CV 2006-001547

FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION

(Assigned to the Honorable Peter B. Swann)

On this _____ day of _Februay____, 2006, after consideration of the Consent to Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction executed by each of the Defendants MICHAEL EUGENE KELLY, YUCATAN RESORTS, INC., YUCATAN RESORTS, S.A., RESORT HOLDINGS INTERNATIONAL, INC., and RESORT HOLDING INTERNATIONAL, S.A., and

It appearing to the Court that each of the Defendants has consented to the entry of this Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction; and

It appearing to the Court that each of the Defendants has admitted jurisdiction of the Court over themselves and the subject matter of this action; and

It appearing that the parties have entered into a Consent to Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction and pursuant thereto have agreed to the entry of this Order for the purpose of settling any and all claims of the Arizona Corporation Commission (the "Commission") arising out

of facts related to the allegations contained in the Complaint in this action and the Administrative Action, Docket S-03539A-03-000, pending before the Commission (the "Administrative Proceeding"), and without Defendants admitting or denying any of the allegations in the Complaint in this action or in the Administrative Proceeding, and without trial or final adjudication of any issue of fact or law pertaining to this action or the Administrative Proceeding and provided that no findings of fact or conclusion of law resulting from the Administrative Proceeding shall be attributed to Defendants for any purpose;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Court finds sufficient basis to order the following:

IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2032 Defendants, and their agents, employees, successors and assigns, with entry of this Order, shall be permanently enjoined from violating the Arizona Securities Act (the "Securities Act"). Defendants shall not sell any securities within or from Arizona without being registered in Arizona as dealers or salesmen, or without being exempt from such registration. Defendants shall not sell securities within or from Arizona unless the securities are registered in Arizona or unless the securities are otherwise exempt from registration. Defendants shall not commit securities fraud in connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2032, Defendants shall jointly and severally pay to the Commission restitution in the amount of Twenty Million Three Hundred Eighty-eight Thousand and Thirty-five Dollars (\$20,388,035) which the Commission shall disburse to each purchaser of Defendants' Universal Lease program who purchased such Universal Lease within or from the State of Arizona ("Arizona Lease Purchasers"), as reflected on the records of the Commission, as agreed upon by Defendants, plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum on any unpaid balance from the entry date of this Order. The amount payable by Defendants hereunder shall be reduced by any amount that has been previously paid to Arizona Lease Purchasers by

25

26

Defendants, upon proof satisfactory to the Commission by February 28, 2006 that such payments have been made. Payment shall be made as follows: Four Million Dollars (\$4,000,000) on the date of this Order; seven installments of Two Million Five Hundred Forty-eight Thousand Five Hundred Four Dollars (\$2,548,504), and a final installment in an amount necessary to complete payment of the entire amount due. The installment payments shall be semi-annual and are to be received by the Commission no later than the last day of June and December, beginning June 30, 2006, until the entire restitution amount has been paid in full. The amount payable by Defendants hereunder shall be reduced by either (1) the amount of payments received by Arizona Lease Purchasers, as shown on the records of the Commission, and as agreed upon by Defendants, from salesmen of Defendants or any one of them, to compensate Arizona Lease Purchasers for the purchase price of their Universal Leases, upon receipt of satisfactory proof by the Commission that such payments have been made, and/or (2) by payments received by the Commission from any order in an action against any person or entity which resulted in a contribution to the repayment to Arizona Lease Purchasers for the purchase price of their Universal Leases. Such offsets shall be applied to the final installment payment obligation. To be eligible for such offsets, Defendants must have remained in full compliance with all terms and conditions contained in this Order. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account maintained and controlled by the Commission. Commission shall disburse the funds on a pro rata basis to all known Arizona Lease Purchasers. Any funds that the Commission is unable to disburse because Arizona Lease Purchasers cannot be located shall be transferred to the general fund of the State of Arizona. Any restitution funds that the Commission cannot disburse, because the Arizona Lease Purchaser refuses to accept such payment, shall be disbursed to the remaining Arizona Lease Purchasers on a pro-rata basis until such time as all remaining Arizona Lease Purchasers have been paid in full. Any remaining funds

after all Arizona Lease Purchasers have been paid in full shall be applied to civil penalties ordered herein pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2037. In the event that the Defendants do not comply with the payment provisions of the Order, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2038, that Defendants shall, jointly and severally, pay on the date of this Order, costs of Forty-nine Thousand Four Hundred Eleven Dollars (\$49,411) which the Commission will repay to the National White Collar Crime Center ("NWCCC"), to reimburse the NWCCC for funds that agency had provided to the Commission to assist in the investigation and trial of the Administrative Proceeding. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of Arizona" to be paid by the Commission to the NWCCC.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2037, Defendants shall jointly and severally pay civil penalties to the Commission in the amount of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) provided that Defendants timely make all restitution payments pursuant to this Order. If such restitution payments are not timely made the civil penalty will be increased to One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000). Payment hereunder shall be made in full by cashier's check, money order or other payment acceptable to the Commission together with the last installment payment of restitution and should be made payable to the "State of Arizona." The civil penalties shall be subordinate to any restitution obligations as ordered herein, and such penalties shall become immediately due and payable only after payments to Arizona Lease Purchasers have been paid in full, or if Defendants have defaulted prior to fulfilling such payment obligations. For the purpose of this Final Judgment, a bankruptcy filing by any Defendant shall be an act of default on that Defendant's obligations to pay funds hereunder unless such payment obligations have been fulfilled at that time. If Defendants do not comply with this Final Judgment for civil penalties, any

25

26

outstanding balance may be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants, as well as any employees, successors, assigns, subordinates or agents thereof, will refrain, both directly and indirectly, from making any additional offers or sales of securities relating to leases, condominium units, or other property interests in the Mexican state of Quintana Roo within or from the state of Arizona. proscribed activities shall include, but not be limited to, any offers or sales of securities relating to estates, condominiums, commercial real estate or other property interests in the "Puerto Cancun" development project.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon the execution and entering of the Order by the Court and the payment by Defendants to the Commission of the initial restitution amount of Four Million Dollars (\$4,000,000) and the cost amount of Forty-nine Thousand Four Hundred Eleven Dollars (\$49,411) called for on the date of this Order, the Administrative Proceeding against Defendants in this action shall be dismissed by the Commission with prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Superior Court of the State of Arizona, Maricopa County, shall have continuing jurisdiction and venue over any and all actions, including an application for an Order of Contempt, related to the enforcement of the Consent to Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction and this Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction.

DONE IN OPEN COURT this 21st day of Filming

Judge of the Superior Court

Peter B. Swann

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original document.

MICHAEL K. JEANES, Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of Arizona, in and full the County of Maricona.

EXHIBIT "B"

Form of Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction--Amended

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 2 3 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 4 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION, 5 No. CV 2006-001547 Plaintiff. 6 VS. 7 FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER 8 MICHAEL EUGENE KELLY, a married man: OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION--YUCATAN RESORTS, INC., a former Indiana AMENDED 9 company; YUCATAN RESORTS, S.A., a former) Panamanian corporation; RESORT HOLDINGS 10 INTERNATIONAL, INC., a former Nevada (Assigned to the Honorable corporation: RESORT HOLDING Peter B. Swann) 11 INTERNATIONAL, S.A., a Panamanian Corporation: and WORLD PHANTASY TOURS,) 12 INC., aka MAJESTY TRAVEL, aka VIAJES MAJESTY 13 Defendants. 14 15 On this _____ day of _____, 2006, after consideration of the Joint Motion to 16 Amend Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction executed by counsel for Plaintiff and all 17 Defendants, except World Phantasy Tours, Inc., aka Majesty Travel, aka Viajes Majesty, and the 18 Consent to Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction executed by World Phantasy Tours. 19 20 Inc., aka Majesty Travel, aka Viajes Majesty, and 21 This Court having previously entered a Final Judgment and Order of Permanent of 22 Injunction signed February 21, 2006 with Defendants Michael Eugene Kelly, Yucatan Resorts, 23 Inc., Yucatan Resorts, S.A., Resort Holdings International, Inc. and Resort Holding International, 24 S.A. (collectively, along with World Phantasy Tours, Inc., aka Majesty Travel, aka Viajes Majesty, 25 "Defendants") and it appearing to the Court that Plaintiff and Defendants agree to certain 26

amendments to this Court's February 21, 2006 Final Judgment and Order of Permanent of Injunction. It further appearing to the Court that each of the Defendants has consented to the entry of this Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction; and

It appearing to the Court that each of the Defendants has admitted jurisdiction of the Court over themselves and the subject matter of this action; and

It appearing that the parties have entered into a Consent to Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction and pursuant thereto have agreed to the entry of this Order for the purpose of settling any and all claims of the Arizona Corporation Commission (the "Commission") arising out of facts related to the allegations contained in the Complaint in this action and the Administrative Action, Docket S-03539A-03-000, pending before the Commission (the "Administrative Proceeding"), and without Defendants admitting or denying any of the allegations in the Complaint in this action or in the Administrative Proceeding, and without trial or final adjudication of any issue of fact or law pertaining to this action or the Administrative Proceeding and provided that no findings of fact or conclusion of law resulting from any other administrative proceeding, arising from the facts in the Administrative Proceeding or this action, commenced by Plaintiff against any person or entity shall be attributed to, effective against or binding upon Defendants for any purpose;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Court finds sufficient basis to order the following:

IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2032 Defendants, and their agents, employees, successors and assigns, with entry of this Order, shall be permanently enjoined from violating the Arizona Securities Act (the "Securities Act"). Defendants shall not sell any securities within or from Arizona without being registered in Arizona as dealers or salesmen, or without being exempt from such registration. Defendants shall not sell securities within or from Arizona unless the securities are registered in Arizona or unless the securities are otherwise exempt from

3

4 5

7

6

8

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

registration. Defendants shall not commit securities fraud in connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2032, Defendants shall jointly and severally pay to the Commission restitution in the amount of Twenty Million Three Hundred Eighty-eight Thousand and Thirty-five Dollars (\$20,388,035) which the Commission shall disburse to each purchaser of Defendants' Universal Lease program who purchased such Universal Lease within or from the State of Arizona ("Arizona Lease Purchasers"), as reflected on the records of the Commission, as agreed upon by Defendants, plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum on any unpaid balance from the entry date of this Order. The amount payable by Defendants hereunder shall be reduced by any amount that has been previously paid to Arizona Lease Purchasers by Defendants, upon proof satisfactory to the Commission by February 28, 2006 that such payments have been made. Payment shall be made as follows: Four Million Dollars (\$4,000,000) on the date of this Order; seven installments of Two Million Five Hundred Forty-eight Thousand Five Hundred Four Dollars (\$2,548,504), and a final installment in an amount necessary to complete payment of the entire amount due. The installment payments shall be semi-annual and are to be received by the Commission no later than the last day of June and December, beginning June 30, 2006, until the entire restitution amount has been paid in full. The amount payable by Defendants hereunder shall be reduced by either (1) the amount of payments received by Arizona Lease Purchasers, as shown on the records of the Commission, and as agreed upon by Defendants, from salesmen of Defendants or any one of them, to compensate Arizona Lease Purchasers for the purchase price of their Universal Leases, upon receipt of satisfactory proof by the Commission that such payments have been made, and/or (2) by payments received by the Commission from any order in an action against any person or entity which resulted in a contribution to the repayment to Arizona Lease Purchasers for the purchase price of their Universal Leases. Such offsets shall be

applied to the final installment payment obligation. To be eligible for such offsets, Defendants must have remained in full compliance with all terms and conditions contained in this Order. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account maintained and controlled by the Commission. The Commission shall disburse the funds on a pro rata basis to all known Arizona Lease Purchasers. Any funds that the Commission is unable to disburse because Arizona Lease Purchasers cannot be located shall be transferred to the general fund of the State of Arizona. Any restitution funds that the Commission cannot disburse, because the Arizona Lease Purchaser refuses to accept such payment, shall be disbursed to the remaining Arizona Lease Purchasers on a pro-rata basis until such time as all remaining Arizona Lease Purchasers have been paid in full. Any remaining funds after all Arizona Lease Purchasers have been paid in full shall be applied to civil penalties ordered herein pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2037. In the event that the Defendants do not comply with the payment provisions of the Order, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2038, that Defendants shall, jointly and severally, pay on the date of this Order, costs of Forty-nine Thousand Four Hundred Eleven Dollars (\$49,411) which the Commission will repay to the National White Collar Crime Center ("NWCCC"), to reimburse the NWCCC for funds that agency had provided to the Commission to assist in the investigation and trial of the Administrative Proceeding. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of Arizona" to be paid by the Commission to the NWCCC.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2037, Defendants shall jointly and severally pay civil penalties to the Commission in the amount of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) provided that Defendants timely make all restitution payments pursuant to this

Order. If such restitution payments are not timely made the civil penalty will be increased to One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000). Payment hereunder shall be made in full by cashier's check, money order or other payment acceptable to the Commission together with the last installment payment of restitution and should be made payable to the "State of Arizona." The civil penalties shall be subordinate to any restitution obligations as ordered herein, and such penalties shall become immediately due and payable only after payments to Arizona Lease Purchasers have been paid in full, or if Defendants have defaulted prior to fulfilling such payment obligations. For the purpose of this Final Judgment, a bankruptcy filing by any Defendant shall be an act of default on that Defendant's obligations to pay funds hereunder unless such payment obligations have been fulfilled at that time. If Defendants do not comply with this Final Judgment for civil penalties, any outstanding balance may be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants, as well as any employees, successors, assigns, subordinates or agents thereof, will refrain, both directly and indirectly, from making any additional offers or sales of securities relating to leases, condominium units, or other property interests in the Mexican state of Quintana Roo within or from the state of Arizona. Such proscribed activities shall include, but not be limited to, any offers or sales of securities relating to estates, condominiums, commercial real estate or other property interests in the "Puerto Cancun" development project.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon the execution and entering of the Order by the Court and the payment by Defendants to the Commission of the initial restitution amount of Four Million Dollars (\$4,000,000) and the cost amount of Forty-nine Thousand Four Hundred Eleven Dollars (\$49,411) called for on the date of this Order, the Administrative Proceeding against Defendants in this action shall be dismissed by the Commission with prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that based upon the agreement of the parties as expressly

represented to this Court, nothing herein shall be deemed to be a final adjudication of any issue of fact or law pertaining to the Administrative Proceeding and further, that no finding of fact or conclusion of law resulting from any other administrative proceeding, arising from the facts in the Administrative Proceeding or this action, commenced by Plaintiff against any person(s) or entity, shall be attributed to, effective against, binding upon, or shall have any collateral estoppel or res judicata effect against any Defendants herein for any purpose.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the prior order of this court dated February 21, 2006 remains in full force and effect except as specifically modified herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Superior Court of the State of Arizona, Maricopa County, shall have continuing jurisdiction and venue over any and all actions, including an application for an Order of Contempt, related to the enforcement of the Consent to Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction and this Final Judgment and Order of Permanent Injunction.

	By:
	Judge of the Superior Court

DONE IN OPEN COURT this _____ day of _____, 2006.